

http://gft.sagepub.com

Understanding the Mechanism of Hyperacute Rejection

Jeffrey L. Platt Graft 2001; 4; 8

The online version of this article can be found at: http://gft.sagepub.com

> Published by: \$SAGE Publications

http://www.sagepublications.com

Additional services and information for Graft can be found at:

Email Alerts: http://gft.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts

Subscriptions: http://gft.sagepub.com/subscriptions

Reprints: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav

Permissions: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav

Understanding the Mechanism of Hyperacute Rejection

Jeffrey L. Platt

Organs transplanted between disparate species are susceptible to hyperacute rejection (HAR).¹ Characterized by the nearly immediate loss of graft function, formation in the graft of platelet thrombi, and the presence of interstitial hemorrhage, HAR is arguably the most severe of all immune responses known.

HAR is triggered by the binding to the graft of anti-Gala 1-3Gal antibodies, 2-4 which are present at some level in all immunocompetent humans, much as are antibodies directed against the blood group A and B antigens in those humans who do not express the blood group antigens.⁵ Antibody binding to the xenograft triggers the activation of complement,6 and it is complement activation, particularly the formation of terminal complement complexes, which allows HAR to proceed.

The pathogenic mechanisms giving rise to HAR have not been demonstrated definitively; however, in vitro studies suggest that those mechanisms may well include a distortion in endothelial shape caused by the rapid insertion of terminal complement complexes in endothelium.⁸ A change in endothelial shape allows the immediate interaction of circulating platelets with the matrix underlying endothelial cells, potentially accounting for the platelet aggregation as the first demonstrable change in xenografts. An alternative mechanism may involve the shedding of von Willebrand factor and other porcine proteins from endothelium, leading to formation of immune complexes and the consumption of platelets in a like

Although susceptibility to HAR is considered to be a property of species, and, thus, species are referred to as discordant or concordant depending on this property, 10 some investigators have found

that porcine organs do not invariably undergo HAR upon transplantation into nonhuman primates.¹¹ The author has considered, in detail, some of the factors that may underlie varying responses to xenotransplantation with regard to the occurrence of HAR. 12 Regardless of whether HAR occurs in every instance or only on some occasions, the risk of that process poses such a severe hurdle to the clinical application of xenotransplantation that efforts must be undertaken to prevent it with certainty or near-certainty.

Has Hyperacute Rejection Been Overcome?

If HAR is defined as rejection occurring within 24 hours of reperfusion of an organ graft with the characteristics as previously described, then HAR has indeed been overcome by preventive measures. The most reliable approach to preventing HAR is inhibiting complement activation. At the present time, effective inhibition of complement has prevented HAR. It would seem the standard approach for preventing HAR is expressing human complement regulatory proteins, such as decay accelerating factor (DAF), or membrane cofactor protein in the graft. 13,14 This approach, which is accomplished by transgenic techniques, is preferred because it leaves the complement system intact to defend the recipient against infectious organisms. Alternative approaches, such as the administration of soluble complement receptor 1 or anti-C5 antibodies, inevitably compromise some measure of host defense.

Acute Vascular Rejection—A Delayed Form of Hyperacute Rejection?

When cobra venom factor or other complement inhibitors are given to the recipients of xenografts,

Jeffrey L. Platt, M.D. Transplantation Biology Medical Sciences Building 2-66 Mayo Clinic 200 1st Street S.W. Rochester, Minnesota, USA 55905

Tel.: 507.538.0313 Fax: 507.284.4957 email: platt.jeffrey@mayo.edu Seminal events in HAR appear to be the rapid assembly of terminal complement complexes on xenograft endothelium and aggregation of platelets at frequent points in the vasculature of the transplant.

and when the xenografts do not undergo rejection within the first 24 hours, they may still succumb to a severe type of vascular rejection over a period of days to weeks. 15 This type of rejection, which is referred to as acute vascular rejection (AVR), was once thought to be a delayed manifestation of HAR. The mistaken understanding about the identity of this type of rejection is owed, in part, to certain similarities in the histologic picture which, in turn, reflect manifestations of ischemia, such as interstitial hemorrhage and the presence of fibrin at certain places in the graft. However, certain aspects of the pathogenesis and manifestations of AVR depart fundamentally from the corresponding aspects of HAR, and remove any clinical or scientific value from combining these processes under one

Seminal events in HAR appear to be the rapid assembly of terminal complement complexes on xenograft endothelium and aggregation of platelets at frequent points in the vasculature of the transplant. In contrast, the earliest events in the development of AVR are a thickening of endothelial cells and deposition of fibrin on those cells. ¹⁶ In contrast to HAR, AVR is not generally characterized by the presence of abundant amounts of terminal complement complexes on graft vasculature and, in fact, some such complexes may not be found at all. These aspects are of import if AVR and HAR are to be treated by definitive and incisive approaches.

For example, anti-C5a antibodies, transgenic expression of DAF, membrane cofactor protein, and CD59 definitively prevent HAR but have no impact on the occurrence of AVR. On the other hand, measures that can prevent AVR, such as the removal of xenoreactive antibodies by immunoabsorption, ¹⁷ may have little effect on HAR in experimental models in which complement can be activated by other means, particularly the alternative complement pathway. ^{18,19}

So, in answer to the question "Has hyperacute rejection been overcome?", the simple answer is "Yes".

Acknowledgments. Work in the author's laboratory is supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health.

REFERENCES

- 1. Platt JL. Hyperacute xenograft rejection. Austin: R.G. Landes Co., 1995.
- Sachs DH, Sablinski T. Tolerance across discordant xenogeneic barriers. Xenotransplantation 1995; 2:234-239.
- Lin SS, Kooyman DL, Daniels LJ et al. The role of natural anti-Galα1-3Gal antibodies in hyperacute rejection of pig-to-baboon cardiac xenotransplants. Transpl Immunol 1997; 5:212-218.
- Collins BH, Cotterell AH, McCurry KR et al. Cardiac xenografts between primate species provide evidence for the importance of the α-galactosyl determinant in hyperacute rejection. J Immunol 1995; 154:5500-5510.
- Parker W, Yu PB, Holzknecht ZE et al. Specificity and function of "natural" antibodies in immunodeficient subjects: Clues to B-cell lineage and development. J Clin Immunol 1997; 17:311-321.
- Platt JL, Fischel RJ, Matas AJ et al Immunopathology of hyperacute xenograft rejection in a swine-to-primate model. Transplantation 1991; 52:214-220
- Brauer RB, Baldwin III WM, Daha MR et al. Use of C6-deficient rats to evaluate the mechanism of hyperacute rejection of discordant cardiac xenografts. J Immunol 1993; 151:7240-7248.
- Saadi S, Platt JL. Transient perturbation of endothelial integrity induced by antibodies and complement. J Exp Med 1995; 181:21-31.
- Holzknecht ZE, Coombes S, Blocher BA et al. Immune complex formation following xenotransplantation: Evidence of type III as well as type II immune reactions provide clues to pathophysiology. Am J Pathol. In Press
- Calne RY. Organ transplantation between widely disparate species.
 Transpl Proc 1970; 2:550-556.
- Loss M, Kunz R, Przemeck M et al. Influence of cold ischemia time, pretransplant anti-porcine antibodies and donor/recipient size matching on hyperacute graft rejection following discordant porcine to cynomolgus kidney transplantation. Transplantation 2000; 69:1155-1159.
- Platt JL. Hyperacute Rejection: Fact or fancy. Transplantation 2000; 69:1034-1035
- McCurry KR, Kooyman DL, Alvarado CG et al. Human complement regulatory proteins protect swine-to-primate cardiac xenografts from humoral injury. Nat Med 1995; 1:423-427.
- Byrne GW, McCurry KR, Martin MJ et al Transgenic pigs expressing human CD59 and decay-accelerating factor produce an intrinsic barrier to complement-mediated damage. Transplantation 1997; 63:149-155.
- Leventhal JR, Matas AJ, Sun LH et al. The immunopathology of cardiac xenograft rejection in the guinea pig-to-rat model. **Transplantation** 1993; 56:1-8.
- Nagayasu T, Saadi S, Holzknecht RA et al. Expression of tissue factor mRNA in cardiac xenografts: clues to the pathogenesis of acute vascular rejection. Transplantation 2000; 69:475-482.
- Lin SS, Weidner BC, Byrne GW et al. The role of antibodies in acute vascular rejection of pig-to-baboon cardiac transplants. J Clin Invest 1998; 101:1745-1756.
- Giles GR, Boehmig HJ, Lilly J et al. Mechanism and modification of rejection of heterografts between divergent species. Transpl Proc 1970; 2:522-537.
- Mozes MF, Gewurz H, Gunnarson A et al. Xenograft rejection by dog and man: Isolated kidney perfusion with blood and plasma. Transpl Proc 1971; 3:531-533.

graft